22. To build up a population of trout in a small lake, 200 young trout are added each year. In addition, the population increases its own numbers by $20 \%$ each year. Let $x_{n}$ denote the size of the population after $n$ years.
(a) If $x_{0}=1200$, determine when $x_{n} \geq 2800$.
(b) Once $x_{n} \geq 2800$, the lake is no longer stocked and fishers will catch 600 fish per year. What is the fate of the population?

The change in the population size is $20 \%$ times the population size and then plus 200 trout, which can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta x=20 \% \cdot x_{n}+200 \\
& x_{n+1}-x_{n}=0.2 \cdot x_{n}+200 \\
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}+0.2 \cdot x_{n}+200 \\
& x_{n+1}=1.2 \cdot x_{n}+200
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a linear first order difference equation where $a=1.2$ and $b=200$. So the equilibrium for this equation is $A=\frac{b}{1-a}=\frac{200}{1-1.2}=-1000$.

For part (a), if $x_{0}=1200$, then the solution to the difference equation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}=\left(x_{0}-A\right) \cdot a^{n}+A \\
& x_{n}=(1200-(-1000)) \cdot(1.2)^{n}+(-1000) \\
& x_{n}=2200 \cdot(1.2)^{n}-1000
\end{aligned}
$$

and we want to find when $x_{n} \geq 2800$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2800=2200 \cdot(1.2)^{n}-1000 \\
& 3800=2200 \cdot(1.2)^{n} \\
& \frac{19}{11}=(1.2)^{n} \\
& \ln \left(\frac{19}{11}\right)=\ln (1.2)^{n} \\
& n \cdot \ln (1.2)=\ln \left(\frac{19}{11}\right) \\
& n=\frac{\ln \left(\frac{19}{11}\right)}{\ln (1.2)} \approx 3
\end{aligned}
$$

You can check the difference equation using $x_{0}=1200$ to see that $x_{3}=2801.6$.
Part (b) is easier to do if we start with a new difference equation

$$
x_{n+1}=1.2 \cdot x_{n}-600 \quad \text { where } x_{0}=2800
$$

This has an equilibrium of $A=\frac{-600}{1-1.2}=3000$.
Since 1.2 is greater than 1 , this equilibrium is unstable, which means that if we start with $x_{0}=2800$, which is less than 3000 , the population will decline over time until it eventually goes extinct.

So how much fishing could we allow so that the trout population won't go extinct? Essentially, this means we want the equilibrium to be less than or equal to the initial value (in this case, 2800) so that the population will grow (or at least not decline).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \leq 2800=\frac{-h}{1-1.2} \\
& 2800=\frac{-h}{-0.2} \\
& h=2800 \cdot 0.2=560
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
2800=\frac{-h}{-0.2} \quad \text { where } h \text { is the number of trout that are caught }
$$

So the most amount of fishing we should allow is 560. If 560 fish are caught each year, the population size will remain at 2800 . If less than 560 fish are caught each year, the population size will grow without limit. If more than 560 fish are caught each year, the population size will decline until it goes extinct. Notice that all this depends on the initial value being 2800. If there were a different number of fish in the pond when we starting allowing fishing, we would have to recalculate the maximum amount of fishing allowed.

24. A population of buffalo can increase its numbers by about $15 \%$ each year. Let $x_{n}$ be the population count after $n$ years, and assume that $h$ buffalo are removed from the herd at the end of each year.
(a) Find $x_{n}$ in terms of $h$ if $x_{0}=1000$.
(b) Find the largest $h$ so that $x_{10} \geq 2000$.

The change in the population size is $15 \%$ times the population size and then minus $h$ buffalo, which can be written as

$$
\Delta x=15 \% \cdot x_{n}-h
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n+1}-x_{n}=0.15 \cdot x_{n}-h \\
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}+0.15 \cdot x_{n}-h \\
& x_{n+1}=1.15 \cdot x_{n}-h
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a linear first order difference equation where $a=1.15$ and $b=-h$. So the equilibrium for this equation is $A=\frac{b}{1-a}=\frac{-h}{1-1.15}=\frac{20}{3} h$.

Since 1.15 is greater than 1 , this equilibrium is unstable, which means that if we start with an initial population size, $x_{0}$, that is less than the equilibrium, $\frac{20}{3} h$, the population will decline over time until it eventually goes extinct.
So the maximum amount of harvesting we should allow is $h=\frac{3}{20} x_{0}$.
For part (a), if $x_{0}=1000$, then the solution to the difference equation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}=\left(x_{0}-A\right) \cdot a^{n}+A \\
& x_{n}=\left(1000-\frac{20}{3} h\right) \cdot(1.15)^{n}+\frac{20}{3} h
\end{aligned}
$$

and the maximum amount of harvesting we should allow is $h=\frac{3}{20} \cdot 1000=150$.
For part (b), if we want $x_{10} \geq 2000$, then to find the largest $h$, we solve

$$
2000=\left(1000-\frac{20}{3} h\right) \cdot(1.15)^{10}+\frac{20}{3} h
$$

for $h$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2000=(1000-6.6667 h) \cdot 4.04556+6.6667 h \\
& 2000=4045.56-26.97 h+6.6667 h \\
& -2045.56=-20.3033 h \\
& h \approx 100
\end{aligned}
$$

You can check the difference equation using $x_{0}=1000$ to see that $x_{10}=2015$ when $h=100$ and $x_{10}=1995$ when $h=101$.

25. A population of pheasants lives on a small island. Because of limited resources, the island can sustain no more than $K$ individuals. The population growth during the year, $\Delta x=x_{n+1}-x_{n}$, can be expected to decrease as $x_{n}$ approaches $K$. Assuming that $\Delta x$ is directly proportional to $K-x_{n}$, find $x_{n}$ given that $x_{0}<K$. What is $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}$ ?

Since the change in the population size is directly proportional to $K-x_{n}$, this can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta x=\gamma \cdot\left(K-x_{n}\right) \\
& x_{n+1}-x_{n}=\gamma \cdot K-\gamma \cdot x_{n} \\
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\gamma \cdot x_{n}+\gamma \cdot K \\
& x_{n+1}=(1-\gamma) \cdot x_{n}+\gamma \cdot K
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $\gamma$ is some constant greater than zero)
which is a linear first order difference equation where $a=1-\gamma$ and $b=\gamma \cdot K$. So the equilibrium for this equation is $A=\frac{b}{1-a}=\frac{\gamma \cdot K}{1-(1-\gamma)}=K$.

Since $\gamma>2$ corresponds to $a<-1$, the equilibrium is only stable when $0<\gamma<2$. Also, since $1<\gamma<2$ corresponds to $-1<a<0$, the population size will "oscillate" to $K$ when $1<\gamma<2$.

The solution to the difference equation is

$$
x_{n}=\left(x_{0}-K\right) \cdot(1-\gamma)^{n}+K
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=K \quad \text { as long as } 0<\gamma<2 .
$$

$$
x_{0}=100, K=1000, \gamma=0.5
$$


$x_{0}=100, K=1000, \gamma=1.5$
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27. The body eliminates $10 \%$ of a certain drug each hour. Suppose that doses of 200 milligrams are given every 6 hours.
(a) Find the maximum drug level in the body.
(b) Find the amount of drug in the body 24 hours after the first dose.
(c) How frequently should the drug be administered to build up a maximum drug level of 1000 milligrams?

This problem must be broken up into (at least) two parts. First, we need to handle the elimination of the drug from the body, which is a continuous time process. Then, we can combine it with the drug dosage, which is a discrete time process.

Experimental evidence tells us that for most drugs, the amount of the drug in the body decays exponentially over time. (See example 48.5 on pages 537-538 of the textbook.)

Since the dose is being administered every 6 hours, and we are only told how much the drug decays every hour, we need to calculate how much of the drug will remain after 6 hours. We can calculate this using the exponential decay equation

$$
N(t)=N_{0} \cdot R^{t}
$$

where $R=0.9$ (since if $10 \%$ of the drug decay, then $90 \%$ is left) and $t$ is measured in hours.

For simplicity, we'll assume that $N_{0}=200$, which is the amount of each dose. Then, the amount of the drug remaining in the body after 6 hours is

$$
N(6)=200 \cdot(0.9)^{6}=200 \cdot 0.531441=106.2882
$$

which means the proportion of the drug remaining in the body after 6 hours is

$$
\frac{106.2882}{200}=0.531441 \approx 53 \%
$$

or, in other words, the body eliminates $47 \%$ of the drug every 6 hours (that is, between doses).

Now, if we include the drug dosage, the change in the amount of the drug in the body (every 6 hours) is $-47 \%$ times the amount present and then plus 200 milligrams, which can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta x=-47 \% \cdot x_{n}+200 \\
& x_{n+1}-x_{n}=-0.47 \cdot x_{n}+200 \\
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}-0.47 \cdot x_{n}+200 \\
& x_{n+1}=0.53 \cdot x_{n}+200
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a linear first order difference equation where $a=0.53$ and $b=200$. So the equilibrium for this equation is $A=\frac{b}{1-a}=\frac{200}{1-0.53} \approx 426$.

For part (a), the maximum drug level in the body is the equilibrium, 426 milligrams.

For part (b), if $x_{0}=200$ corresponds to the first dose, then $x_{1}=306$ is the amount of the drug in the body 6 hours after the first dose, $x_{2}=362$ is the amount of the drug in the body 12 hours after the first dose, $x_{3}=392$ is the amount of the drug in the body 18 hours after the first dose, and $x_{4}=408$ is the amount of the drug in the body 24 hours after the first dose. (Please note that the answer given for part (b) in the back of the textbook is incorrect!)

For part (c), we want an equilibrium value of $A \leq 1000$. Since $A=\frac{b}{1-a}=\frac{200}{1-a}$, this gives us a corresponding value for $a=1-\frac{b}{A}=1-\frac{200}{1000}=0.8 . a=0.8$ means that $80 \%$ of the amount of the drug remains in the body or, in other words, $20 \%$ of the amount of the drug has been eliminated.

So, we need to calculate how long it will take for $20 \%$ of the amount of the drug in the body to be eliminated, and that will tell us how often we should administer the dose. $80 \%$ of 200 milligrams is 160 milligrams, so we need to solve the exponential decay equation for the amount of time it takes to decay from 200 to 160:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N(t)=N_{0} \cdot R^{t} \\
& 160=200 \cdot(0.9)^{t} \\
& 0.8=(0.9)^{t} \\
& \ln (0.8)=\ln (0.9)^{t} \\
& t \cdot \ln (0.9)=\ln (0.8) \\
& t=\frac{\ln (0.8)}{\ln (0.9)} \approx 2.12
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that it takes about 2.12 hours for the body to eliminate $20 \%$ of the amount of the drug, and that is how often we should administer the drug if we want to build up a maximum drug level of 1000 milligrams.
$81 \%$ of the drug remains in the body after 2 hours (since $(0.9)^{2}=0.81$ ), which gives a difference equation of

$$
x_{n+1}=0.81 \cdot x_{n}+200
$$

and an equilibrium value of $A=\frac{b}{1-a}=\frac{200}{1-0.81} \approx 1053$, which is greater than the desired maximum of 1000 . This means that we should not round the above answer down to 2 hours because administering the drug every 2 hours would result in a maximum drug level greater than 1000 milligrams.
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28. A level of more than 1500 milligrams of a certain drug in the body is considered unsafe. Individuals doses are 250 milligrams, and the drug is removed from the body according to the exponential decay equation

$$
N(t)=N_{0} e^{-0.1 t}
$$

where $t$ is measured in hours. How frequently can the drug be safely administered?

If you haven't already, read through problem 27 first.
The difference equation for this problem is

$$
x_{n+1}=a \cdot x_{n}+250
$$

where $a$ is the proportion of drug that is left in the body after it decays exponentially between doses and $b=250$ is the amount of each dose.

In order for the amount of the drug in the body to remain below 1500 milligrams, we want an equilibrium value of $A \leq 1500$. Since $A=\frac{b}{1-a}=\frac{250}{1-a}$, this gives us a corresponding maximum value for $a=1-\frac{b}{A}=1-\frac{250}{1500}=\frac{5}{6} . a=\frac{5}{6}$ means that $\frac{5}{6}$ of the amount of the drug remains in the body or, in other words, $\frac{1}{6}$ of the amount of the drug has been eliminated.

So, we need to calculate how long it will take for $\frac{1}{6}$ of the amount of the drug in the body to be eliminated, and that will tell us how often we should administer the dose. $\frac{5}{6}$ of 250 milligrams is $208 \frac{1}{3}$ milligrams, so we need to solve the exponential decay equation for the amount of time it takes to decay from 250 to $208 \frac{1}{3}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N(t)=N_{0} e^{-0.1 t} \\
& 208 \frac{1}{3}=250 \cdot e^{-0.1 t} \\
& \frac{5}{6}=e^{-0.1 t} \\
& \ln \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)=\ln \left(e^{-0.1 t}\right) \\
& -0.1 t=\ln \left(\frac{5}{6}\right) \\
& t=\frac{\ln \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)}{-0.1} \approx 1.823
\end{aligned}
$$

To be safe, we will round up to 2 hours. 2 hours gives a corresponding value of $a=e^{(-0.1 \cdot 2)}=0.81873$ and an equilibrium value of $A=\frac{b}{1-a}=\frac{250}{1-0.81873} \approx 1379$, which is within the desired maximum.

30. Approximately 100 new cases of a rare disease arise each year. Through drug therapy, about $25 \%$ of all individuals with the affliction are cured each year. Let $x_{n}$ denote the number with the disease after $n$ years.
(a) Find and solve the difference equation relating $x_{n+1}$ to $x_{n}$ given that $x_{0}=700$.
(b) Compute $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}$.

The change in the number of cases each year is $-25 \%$ times the current number of cases and then plus 100 new cases, which can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta x=-25 \% \cdot x_{n}+100 \\
& x_{n+1}-x_{n}=-0.25 \cdot x_{n}+100 \\
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}-0.25 \cdot x_{n}+100 \\
& x_{n+1}=0.75 \cdot x_{n}+100
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a linear first order difference equation where $a=0.75$ and $b=100$. So the equilibrium for this equation is $A=\frac{b}{1-a}=\frac{100}{1-0.75}=400$, and since 0.75 is between -1 and 1 , this equilibrium is stable.

For part (a), if $x_{0}=700$, then the solution to the difference equation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}=\left(x_{0}-A\right) \cdot a^{n}+A \\
& x_{n}=(700-400) \cdot(0.75)^{n}+400 \\
& x_{n}=300 \cdot(0.75)^{n}+400
\end{aligned}
$$

For part (b), since the equilibrium is stable, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=400$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[300 \cdot(0.75)^{n}+400\right] \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=300 \cdot \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(0.75)^{n}+400 \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=300 \cdot 0+400=400
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that in the long run, we expect that there will always be about 400 cases of the disease. Also, this makes sense because if there are 400 cases and $25 \%$ of them are cured each year, that means that 100 cases are cured each year. And then if there are 100 new cases each year, that brings the number of cases back to 400 .


